## Case 1:11-cv-00007-SM Document 1 STRIC COURT Page 1 of 10 for 1

### DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

GEORGE ALLICON Plaintiff Prose

civil action No.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Verizon cellular and Parties

2011 JAN -5 A'11: 49

FCRA VIOLATIONS (Fair Credit reporting violations)

(a) ETF early Termination Fee)

WHITE & CElled ILLEGELFEE). PGI IC exb. 1, 2.

- (B) ILLEGAL Placement of NON-regulated charge, on Reculated bill, Twice. PG1 16) EPGZ 2(D) exh 3, 4, 5.
- (C) Bill Although Paid Twice was Paid on Time we, Pucand I could not locate until Later. PG 2A, 2A(C) exh. 3A. Note Date At 3A then date at 3A. Bill was Paid the Previous MATA.
  - (d) After the Puc monitored (B) it returned See exh. A. which replaces 3d. same erroneous Raid bill. violate At (B)(c) again Wrong Place Amt. Paid 3A
  - P.U.C. Kelp in monitoring extrapalments to remove Previous 4 Paid Lill ext. 3(B), 3(A), 5, Puc. Sec PG 2(2B(2D)
    - ALAMCDA County Superior Court Notice of settlement exh 6 (illegal Fee) exh 7A Parment, Notified TRANS-UNION OF Above They refused to change \$140 DeBT Although ruled AN ILLOGAL Fee exh. 8 PG3 3(B) \$623 (B)(Z)(B). Two VI ioLationsNotiFication CHESTER, SACRAMENTO, CA. Both reFused To correct. Leonge Allicon

wiolation a.

wrong place loid twice exh 3,4
Paid it twice was nowed 4 yiolations

(F) refused to Amend Judges zviolations ruline total 7 violations

Case 1:11-ct-00007-SM Violation Liesting (Cont.) 10

(G) Fair Point Assumed Verizon's Lines and Call Plans Through 7/1/2010 when the P.U.C. met. There may have been change, but Previous to that date, Fair Point Guaranteed The NASHUA, NH To Lowell, MA. Calling Plan. Towns each side. Fair Point could not Get into Mass. but they charge dime for the mentioned Plan with Jupphing The Plan. Violation 1

Total OF 8 violations

Respectfully Submitted
GEORGE ALLICON Pro-se
Seorge allicon
30 CHESTNUTST. /11
NASHUA, NH. 03060

District OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
12/14/10 | Case 1:11-cv-00007-SM | Document | Filed 01/05/11 | Page 3 of 10

#### FORWARD

To The Honorable Court,

PARECRED 1(a) THE Following is a List of Violations Pertaining To

To Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRH) Violations.

The Court will recognize the extreme difficulties which
occur through the dissemination and the convolution
which is inherent as the acts Propound themselves.

Meaning the computers only SPCW information there

Fed. To stop a computer you must enter a Lie To it.

That said I intend To Tell you a simple story which

Paragraph. 1(B) I'm Positive The Court will Find extremely interesting
There are A house Phone and cell Phone, both Verizon.

I Asketthe cell Phone be removed There was a huge
Spike And I had an Limited Long distance house Phone.

I increased The home Phone to unlimited Long distance.

The bill marked exhibit one is the first bill immediated
Following The removal of the Cell Phone which was paid

gragraph 1 (c) IN Full other Then a billing overlap of 15.00.

A termination charce of 175.00 was attached. I sent 50.00 To Pay the 15.00 of usage Fees overlapping which was the cell Phone Final other Then the Termination Fee (EtF). 35.00 came off the Fee. Exhibit P shows the 140.07 which is the now improved Early termination Fee (ETF) which I will explain the infant as it unfolds. The Court will Kindly note the cell Phone number 508-0116

aragraph 1(D) while the home number is 594-3155.

Exhibit 3 is the home Phone, both sides. The court will Kindly note the Non-regulated charge of 138.81 on a regulated carriers bill. The Court will Please take note that only a 700 or 900 number And Certain internet charges ever be allowed as annonregulated carrier on a regulated carriers bill. I knew the 138.81 did not belong there. Cover Listing of Violations 2(B). I could solve this now Sirs but I am unfolding there violations as the rocurred and have not figured them out For awhile as of yet note the 138.81 Appears on abill dated

aracraph 1(e) 11/28 - 12/27 06. Note Cover Listing 3(c), over PG2

S.a.

3

12/14/10

dearagraph.

2(a) The HONORABLE WILL NOTE at This POINT I WENT TO MY BANK IN MILFORDON COMPONY DUSINESS. I SOUGHT a Loan and atthe time was operating with a CASH Front of 15,000. I was Notified That I was under collection From Yerizon, This happened herore I even had notice of the ETF (Termination Fee). I received a Smaller amount theyanticipated nevertheless I become extremely suspicious as to Yerizons insistance on the immediate and entire demand For the EtF. I Felt the Judiciary only, had the authority TO FINE anyone. I contacted the PUC (Public

aracraph

2 (B) Utility Commission) and asked For There help reminis in discerning what a 138.81 bill, which as a non regulated carriers till was doing on a regulated carriers bill. I Felt it was the cell Phone but They informed me They have no Authority over a cell Phone. Although correct This is incorrect as it is a FCRA violation To deliberately Place a cell Phone billona regulated carries till especially a cell Phone which is neverallowed. The Duc didn't catch

aracraph

2(c) it and atthis Point I was only highly suspicious. I repuested the P.U.C monitor extra Payments I would make on my house Phone bill to get The 138.81 OFF The home Phone bill, they agreed to monitor and After smonths of Parments The bill should have been removed as itwas Paid. JAN Quintwas the agent assigned by

'aracraph

26) The P.U.C. TO MONITOR The extra Parments. The beadaches continued as after all the aforementioned instead of the 138,81 being Paid a bill of 222.02 appeared note exhibit 4. The Puc was totally confused and bowed out of the Situation. I Told JAN Quint I would Find IT AND EXhibit And 5 explain what I Found.

2(C) ALLICONYS VERIZON MONITORED THROUGHPUC. uracraph

over PG 3

12 1/4 10 Case 1:11-cv-00007-SM Document 1 Filed 01/05/11 Page 5 of 10

Paracraph 2010THE HONORABLE COURT WILL NOW REALIZE The debth And The incomprehensibility that ensues by The egregious in accuracies. The Last Paracraph at PG. 2020SSERTS TO The Puc I would Find

Paraceaon EABIT, SIR YOU WON'T believe This.

I went Looking through My records And WAS ASTONISHED When I Located the 138.81. I AM AHACHING EXHIBIT 3A.

THE 138.81 Was the LAST CEIL Phone BILL PhiD in Fullon 11/7/106 3 Weeks Defore Ther Placed it on the regulated Carriers bill as the Non-Regulated Carriers The Culprit of the Confusion was found. Wherefore it was Paid:time! V. Exh 3A

1 I Paid it Twice Addicon & Verizon

2 Ther Knew I Paid 3 weeks Previous
this becomes AN Administrative violation
and increases to 2,000 each:

3. They Placed it on the regulated carriers

bill. Si631, 623

4. They billed me For an ETF AFTER KNOWING They were deliberately and Punitively Pursuing These egregious Violations Exhibit 3 Shows The billing For 11/28. (138.81)

5. Exhibit I Shows A 1216 billdate For the ETF I MONTH after the Erroneous billing.

At PG3 it CONTINUES with Further Violations

FORWARD PG. 5
. 12114 Gase 1:11-cv-00007-SM Document 1 Filed 01/05/11 Page 6 of 10 THE HONORAble court wILL Kindly CONTINUE TO remember This is CONTINUALLY UNFOLDING, Page ONC COMMENCED 11/106 AND Page 2 runs through 7/08 \*exh. 5.  $3(\alpha)$ Paraoraph THE Time constraints For Filing under 15 U.S.C & et. Seq. allow a 2-5 year time Limit. This Petitioner has tied in the CONTINUING VIOLATIONS From 11/06 Through 7/10 whereas The Plan and Lines were Taken over by another carrier after the State had it with Verizon and continued with other violations I seek The Courts accordance whereas I will tie The New carriers violations within the Acts Time \$618(2) 3(B) restraints, Now to Continue, Paracraph A NotiFication of a Superior Court Class Action Settlement 5623 From ALAMEDA County pertaining to the ETF (early term-(B)(R)(B)ination Fee) was settled. White v Cellco d/b/a Verizon Wireless Claims Administrator Clo Gilardig Co. LLC P.O Box 808054 Petaluma, CA 94975-8054, Generally The ETF was illegal see violation I (A) and exhibit b also qualification of the settlements distersal at Claim # VRZN-4013690-6 Allowed This Petitioner See exhibit 7. To refresh the intent of this exercise 3(c) The 140,00 ILLEGAL ETF Froze my credit Line And ParaciaPh all monies subsequently owed is the direct result OF the illegal debt. I will sumupat conclusion but The violations continue Further, the aforementioned the ending Paragraph at PG ZEKONTINUES. This Petitioner went to Worcester Verizon and managed to arrive in a secure Area. that said the supervisor recognizing this petitioner as a castomer asked what Inceded. I explained the billing was continuing at a rate in which the state Puc was rolling There heads this was unacceptable and my demands They address The situation. They erred and Iwas given an address Note exhibit 7 And exhibit 4 This billing ceased. I realize The HONORABLE Court may Find the address Paracraph 3(D) creative nonetheless it was effective. The educated Guess would be the computer room. Paragraph 3 PG 369A Notification etc., From This Point upon Notification I sent a copy TO TRANS UNION credit reporting which is CAPPYING The 140.00 ETF I legal Fee as a Legitimate debt. I notified Them in SACRAMENTO Which is 80 miles from ALAMEDA AND TRANS Uniop in CHESTER, PA notiFyiNGThem OF The Judges Ruling. Note # exh. 6 exh. Ther refused to remove the illegal debt. The refugal to accept the ruling of the tudges illegal Fee see exhibit 8. Verizon Cellularwas removed in NH, Paracount 3(e) And Fair Point Communications took the Lines and Calling Plans. This petitioner ordered the NASHUA, NH to Lowell, MA Calling PLAN. Note exhibit 9. The company, Fair Point Could Not meet There obligation to Provide the Plan. I told them to remove The Phone after Paring 3 months. They did not, supplying a Limited Local, Trefused to Pay For a Plan I didn't order. Thex have since removed the Phone. They are in Charter 11, This is also 30). a violation and ties all in through July 2010 when The Puc met. over L.a

the Honorable will kindly recognize the intent was deliberate and demeaning to not only the customer but to those entities established to Quash these Clandestine FCRA violations. Furthermore the intent to count on oversite due budgetary and economic distress which induces cutbacks in oversite regulators is a Perpetration caused by Administration. The bill was Paid, Twice and then the assertion that twice the amount was award after the second Paroff was monitored through the Publicitility Commission discerns an ambiguity to circumlocute rules.

I would Pray the Honorable Court to acree to the Punitive Liability Not only of the allowable violations and Furthermore Losses Suffered by refusing to concur with the Alameda Court ruling. The Petitioner could not borrow on the Following Years upfront Permits. I am a Common Carrier and was Forced as the book Keerer to sell at Salvage a Loss of estimated Value of Truck 9,000 and trailer 2,500. Five Administrative violations at 2,000 each. Three at 1,000 each and 100 hours of Prepenation and research 3500. I would be Pleased with any award but a ball Park of 28,000, might be Considered if

12/14/0 Case 1:11-cv-00007-6M Document 1 Filed 01/05/11 Page 8 of 10

The HONDRABLE COURT would Provide a LAWYER From The Lobbi to bring CASE.

The Court would Please Note that a minimum of 15,000 would be necessary to buy an old truck, insure it and register, to comebackupon the FHMA register. I would sincerly thank the Feds. For supplying me the FCRA in Fo. and the statutes mentioned in the Complaints which were derived From the Act.

the Petitioner is aware of the heavy case Load at the U.S. District Jurisdiction and would apologise For the Presentation and explanations. I felt this had to be unrayeled as the Complexity is invate in the Simplicity of the violations. A further attack upon our system of Fairness, and a Punitive assault against the ability To recognize the Treacherrinuolised.

PLease Locate exhibit.

#9. PG 2 2a

(money Inseded to CONT.)

ALSO EXH T. where exh 4. wasterased" (computer)
Room ?

THANKY OU.

Sincerly yours. Lorge Allicon

GEORGE ALLICON
(cowbor GEORGE)
30 CHESTOUTST./11
NASHUA, NH 03060.

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)  I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	 TRICT COURT	YERIZON Cell.
			DISTR	ICT OF I'.H	SO A FEXHINGEL DLIN
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Hills boro (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  Pro-Se			County of Residence	f First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES 5 5 5 DND AND FIGURE (ASES, UNVOLVED.	YERIZON CEII.  20 A LEXANDER DRIV SONLY) USE THE LOCATION OF THE
			Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISE	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<ul> <li>3 Federal Question</li> <li>(U.S. Government Not a Party)</li> </ul>	Citiz		PTF DEF  I I Incorporated or Poor of Business In Th	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item		en of Another State	2 Incorporated and of Business In	
			ren or Subject of a [oreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	Liability 365 Personal Product Slander 380 Rederal Employers' Injury PLability Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PLability 371 Truth in 370 Other Fer 380 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Per Service Associated Personal Product 380 Other Personal Product 380 Other Personal Product 380 Other Personal Product 380 Other Personal Product Personal Product Personal Product 380 Other Personal Product Personal Product Personal Product Personal Product P	INJURY	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other  LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl Ret Inc. Security Act  IMMIGRATION— 62 Naturalization Application 63 Habeas Corpus— Alien Detainee 65 Other Immugration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights   830 Patent   840 Trademark   840 Trademark   SOCIAL SECURITY   861 HIA (1395ff)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIWCDIWW (405(g))   864 SSID Title XVI   865 RSI (405(g))   FEDERAL TAX SUITS   870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
■1 Original □ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from		nened anot	nsferred from 6 Multidist her district Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause:	th you are filing CRA 1	5 USC, \$1	nal statutes unless diversity): 1681 et Seq. RCredit Reportina	ACT F.CRN
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions). JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
12/19/10	SIGNATUR P Ro.	E OF ATTORNEY SE.	OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
DECEIDT#	MOUNT ADDITION	CIED	HIDCE	MAGIT	IDCE

# Case 1:11-cv-00007-SM Document 1 Filed 01/05/11 Page 10 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

GEORGE ALLICON
PLAINTIFF Pro-se

CiviLACTION NO.

VERIZON CELLULAR and Parties TRANS-UNION

FAIR BINT GMM.

COYER COPY TO CLERK

Dear Sir/Madam, MR STARR

PLease Find for Filing in Theabove

related matter.

- (1) Civil Cover Sheet
- (2) Civil Category Sheet
- (3) COMPLAINT LIST WITH FCRAVIOLATIONS.
- (4) Motion To Proceed Informa Pauperis.
- (5) Motion To APPOINT Counsel
- (6) Motion to Delay Service to Parties
- (T) EXMIDITS
- (8) Certificate of Service.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify thatatrue copy of the above was mailed to the parties of record on Dec. 19, 2010 by First class mail Postage Prepaid

Clerk, united States District Court 55 Pleasant St. CONCORD, NH Respect Tuly Submitted Seorge allicon GEORGE ALLICON PR-SE 30 CHESTNUTST. / 11 NASHUA, NH 03060